

**From:** [ALAN TANNER](#)  
**To:** [CustomerServiceCenter](#)  
**Subject:** plans for Seaport Village  
**Date:** Sunday, October 9, 2022 1:13:23 PM

---

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the people in power,

As a resident in San Diego of San Diego i completely reject the proposal to destroy Seaport Village and Ruocco Park.

There are several objections:

- 1) it would destroy the beautiful a calm atmosphere that prevails today. Sure , some upgrades are due, but not destruction of the place.
- 2). High rises destroy any view of the water from anywhere and all of the time.
- 3). The destruction is permanent.
- 4). The plan is blatantly for the city's tax base and for the wealthy developers. There is nothing for citizens.
- 5). The changes are for tourism and tax revenue not for the people of San Diego.
- 6). Why are we putting businesses on the water? The new construction now is a horror, blocking views of people all over the city. The buildings are too tall. And they are right on Harbor drive, crowding the street and the land. Who thought this was a good idea?
- 7). Why in the name of all that is smart do we put businesses and biotech firms and Navy Admin buildings on the water? They can be in the city. The are vacant 16 hours a day. Why not let San Diegans have a shot living there if you feel the need to build and destroy open land.
- 8). How in heaven can you have the gall to ask the public to subsidize this? Are not all of the developers already rich beyond all that is healthy? Don't investment entail risk? You want the taxpayers, who have NO SAY in the implementation of these new taxes, to take the risk out of investments for rich people. THIS IS WRONG.

Tourists will still come. Remember that the city if primarily for the people living there, not for developers or tourists. Tourists are welcome but they shouldn't rule or dictate policy.

The occupancy tax is already way too high. With state and federal income tax and the occupancy tax and resort fees, one has to earn 500 dollars to pay for a 200 dollar hotel room. Of course, there are no 200 dollars hotel rooms down there.

Please stop this insanity. Keep the place cool and don't try to be edgy or trendy or keep up with the Joneses. San Diego has always been a laid back town. Why do you want to mess it up?

Alan j. Tanner

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [ALAN TANNER](#)  
**To:** [CustomerServiceCenter](#)  
**Subject:** seaport village  
**Date:** Sunday, October 9, 2022 1:16:48 PM

---

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please stop this insanity of high rises at Seaport Village. It is very wrong.  
Sent from my iPad

**From:** [ALAN TANNER](#)  
**To:** [CustomerServiceCenter](#)  
**Subject:** seaport village  
**Date:** Sunday, October 9, 2022 1:36:26 PM

---

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To those in power,

Please stop this horrible Seaport Village project.

You can already see what has happened with the new hideous development on Harbor Drive, The new buildings on Harbor Drive are right next to the road and they obstruct everyone's.....everyone's views. Tall buildings should be set back.

And why are the buildings for offices. they will be dark 16 hours a day whereas residence at least could allow people to live there and enjoy views of the harbor.

Do you want there to be NO VIEWS of the harbor anyone at all.

Why businesses.

Put them in the city.

San Diego has always been a laid back city. Why do you want to make it into some kind of Miami.

Except for the city's insatiable greed for tax revenue, why would you destroy the peace that is there now. Sure, some upgrades are in order but DON'T DESTROY IT.

And for crying out loud, how can you have the citizens subsidize the investment of a bunch of zillionaires? If i put money in the rock market, i am taking a risk. Why should the taxpayer put jup half a billion dollars to keep rich people from any risk. If it is too much for them, let them scale the project back.

What's worse is that the taxpayer has no say about the levying of more taxes. The hotel taxes and fees are already ridiculous.

Once you destroy this place it is GONE.....FOREVER.

Please reconsider this whole project. Keep it calm. Keep it for the residents and not rich developers and tax revenues.

Alan Tanner

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Kathy Howell](#)  
**To:** [CustomerServiceCenter](#)  
**Subject:** Seaport Village  
**Date:** Monday, October 10, 2022 8:46:09 AM

---

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Members of the Port Authority

As a frequent visitor to Seaport Village, I'm hoping that the drawing in the newspaper is inaccurate. Although I personally love the way it is now because of the open feel, I realize that it could use some updating. I'm wondering about the need for so many hotel rooms. San Diego is littered with hotels already and other than comic con, they're not full now nor would I think 10 years from now. There's one tall skinny building that looks like the Hyatts. It looks like a partition. The design should be to gradually blend with the harbor, not wall it off. I would never go to the urban beach - there are many better options and I foresee having a hard time keeping it clean. Lastly, why would the most beautiful real estate go to a Blue-tech company? Seems crazy to take away views from others for office workers.

Whatever this project turns out to be, it will be permanent. I applaud the the members of the port for taking all this time to get it right.

It's still not there. Shorter and fewer buildings and a replacement for the beach.

Thank you.

K Howell

**From:** [Julia Sanchez](#)  
**To:** [Ann Moore](#); [Dan Malcolm](#); [Danielle Moore](#); [Frank Urtasun](#); [Michael Zucchet](#); [Sandy Naranjo](#); [Rafael Castellanos](#)  
**Cc:** [Sally Raney](#); [Janet Graham](#); [ELG - cc Assistants](#); [Commissioner Services Staff](#)  
**Subject:** Agenda Related Materials (Item #21) BPC 10/11/22: Seaport Village San Diego  
**Date:** Friday, October 7, 2022 9:19:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[image006.png](#)  
[image007.png](#)

---

Commissioners,

Passing along Agenda Related Materials received for the Board regarding Item 21, agenda # 2022-0339, PRESENTATION AND DIRECTION TO STAFF ON POTENTIAL PUBLIC FINANCING MODEL FOR PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND PARKS AS A PART OF THE REDEVELOPMENT OF A PORTION OF THE CENTRAL EMBARCADERO DISTRICT BY 1HWY1, LLC (SEAPORT SAN DIEGO PROJECT)

Respectfully,

**Julia Sanchez**

Document Management Assistant / Staff Assistant  
Office of the District Clerk & Commissioner Services  
3165 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92101  
Office (619) 686-8112



connect: [h](#) [f](#) [t](#) [v](#) [in](#) [@](#)

Port administration offices are open Monday-Thursday and [every other Friday](#) from 8am-5pm.  
This email may contain public information and may be viewed by third parties pursuant to the Cal. Public Records Act.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 6, 2022 8:39:06 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Dan Malcolm <[dmalcolm@portofsandiego.org](mailto:dmalcolm@portofsandiego.org)>; Ann Moore <[amoore@portofsandiego.org](mailto:amoore@portofsandiego.org)>; Michael Zucchet <[mzucchet@portofsandiego.org](mailto:mzucchet@portofsandiego.org)>; Rafael Castellanos <[rcastellanos@portofsandiego.org](mailto:rcastellanos@portofsandiego.org)>; Danielle Moore <[dmoore@portofsandiego.org](mailto:dmoore@portofsandiego.org)>; Frank Urtasun <[furtasun@portofsandiego.org](mailto:furtasun@portofsandiego.org)>; Sandy Naranjo <[snaranjo@portofsandiego.org](mailto:snaranjo@portofsandiego.org)>  
**Subject:** Seaport San Diego

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I understand that you will be meeting to discuss the 1HWY1 concept for Seaport San Diego and the additional funding requested for it. As a taxpayer in San Diego for over five decades, I ask you to say NO to this ridiculous design and especially to asking us, the San Diego taxpayers, to fund it.

The 500- foot observation tower (AKA the Stack of Dirty Dishes) is truly ugly. As to the other elements, we already have a world-class aquarium; we have beaches; we have hotels; we have charming restaurants; we have boat slips. What makes a city a "world-class destination" is not a postmodern hodgepodge of disparate design; it is charm, culture, and heritage.

Most importantly, even if the changes were necessary (are they?) and refined (they're not), and even if there were not significant environmental issues (there are), whose will is being promoted here? Is this project the desire of the general population, or of a small development group? I would suggest that the outpouring of sentiment in letters to the newspapers and articles suggest that this costly project is not the will of the people. And I even have some questions about who is writing the purported letters of support; are these from tax-paying San Diegans?

Please, please reconsider this overpriced, overwrought design. And above all, don't make me pay for it.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Vreeland  
5048 August St.  
San Diego, CA 92110

**From:** [terry Howell](#)  
**To:** [CustomerServiceCenter](#)  
**Subject:** Seaport village redo  
**Date:** Sunday, October 9, 2022 1:36:44 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I met with some out of town guests last week at the Fish Market and they commented on how sad it was that San Diego was allowing such big, bulky buildings (IQHQ) to be built so close to the water's edge; it really ruined the open, airy, feel that made San Diego so different from other big cities. They also mentioned how they had never been taxed so much on a hotel room as they were here.

A few days later I read an article in the paper that the wall of concrete might continue even further. If the picture is true to scale, it looks like the new buildings will be as tall as the Hyatts! Please say no. It's one thing to update, another to ruin what makes San Diego special. Seven more hotels?

The 1HWY1 people are proposing that San Diego residents pay for their city to be walled off with highrises for tourists? San Diego will get more money for sure with all of the taxes on the rooms but for the cost of permanently destroying downtown.

Lastly, that urban beach is the dumbest idea I've seen. We have miles and miles of coastline and they want to spend millions on a man-made product for whom? Residents won't go there and I think hotel occupants would prefer their pool. Look at Kellogg's beach on the busiest beach day of the year - it's not fake and yet it's never full.

The developer says they need more money because of.....and yet the article says it has swelled in size and scope from the original - that's why it's coming in way over budget.

Please keep tall buildings away from the shore or at the very least try to downsize a bit and spread them out so there's more open space between them so it doesn't feel like a wall. And get rid of the beach!

Sincerely,

Tim Vandal