

# San Diego Unified Port District

# Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	2022	2-0273	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Actio	on Item			Status:	Passed	
File created:	8/29	/2022			In control:	Board of Port Commissioners	
On agenda:	9/13	/2022			Final action:	9/13/2022	
Title:	AGF NAT \$1,1 YEA	REEMENT SIS LLP I 00,000; F RS WILL	FOR LEGANCREASIN Y 2023 EXF BE BUDGE	AL SE IG TH PEND TED	RVICES WITH A E NOT-TO-EXC ITURES ARE BU IN THE APPROF	COUNSEL TO EXECUTE AMEND LLEN, MATKINS, LECK, GAMBLE EED AMOUNT BY \$950,000 FROM IDGETED, ALL FUNDS FOR FUTL PRIATE FISCAL YEAR, SUBJECT CAL YEAR'S BUDGET.	, MALLORY & 1 \$150,000 TO JRE FISCAL
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	1. 12	2. 2022-02	273 Draft Re	esolut	on		
Date	Ver.	Action By	/		Act	on	Result
9/13/2022	1	Board of	f Port Comn	nissio	ners ado	pted	
	_						

DATE: September 13, 2022

# SUBJECT:

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE GENERAL COUNSEL TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AGREEMENT FOR LEGAL SERVICES WITH ALLEN, MATKINS, LECK, GAMBLE, MALLORY & NATSIS LLP INCREASING THE NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT BY \$950,000 FROM \$150,000 TO \$1,100,000; FY 2023 EXPENDITURES ARE BUDGETED, ALL FUNDS FOR FUTURE FISCAL YEARS WILL BE BUDGETED IN THE APPROPRIATE FISCAL YEAR, SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL UPON ADOPTION OF EACH FISCAL YEAR'S BUDGET.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Office of the General Counsel is responsible for providing legal advice and representation to the Board of Port Commissioners, the CEO and executive team, as well as all departments of the District, including defending the District in litigation and administrative procedures, as well as advising the District on transactions, policies and other matters. In order to best advise and defend the District, in addition to the in-house attorneys, the Office of the General Counsel sometimes utilizes the services of outside law firms and experts to provide legal and consultant services in specific areas of law and to supplement the services of the in-house resources. This agenda seeks Board authorization for the General Counsel to execute an amendment to agreement for legal services. Details regarding the specific matter for which this agreement is proposed are protected by the attorney/client and work product privileges and are discussed in a separate confidential attorney-client privileged memorandum to the Board.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**:

Adopt a resolution authorizing the General Counsel to execute Amendment No. 1 to Agreement for Legal Services with Allen, Matkins, Leck, Gamble, Mallory & Natsis LLP, increasing the not-to-exceed amount by \$950,000 from \$150,000 to \$1,100,000.

### FISCAL IMPACT:

This agreement authorizes expenditure of additional funds not-to-exceed \$950,000. Funds for this agreement are available in the current FY 2023 Budget for the Office of the General Counsel, all funds for future fiscal years will be budgeted in the appropriate fiscal year, subject to Board approval upon adoption of each fiscal year's budget.

#### COMPASS STRATEGIC GOALS:

This agenda item supports the following Strategic Goal(s).

- A Port that the public understands and trusts.
- A thriving and modern maritime seaport.
- A vibrant waterfront destination where residents and visitors converge.
- A Port with a healthy and sustainable bay and its environment.
- A Port with a comprehensive vision for Port land and water uses integrated to regional plans.
- A Port that is a safe place to visit, work and play.
- A financially sustainable Port that drives job creation and regional economic vitality.

#### DISCUSSION:

This agenda seeks Board authorization for the General Counsel to execute an amendment to Agreement for Legal Services with Allen, Matkins, Leck, Gamble, Mallory & Natsis LLP increasing the not-to-exceed amount by \$950,000 from \$150,000 to \$1,100,000.

Details regarding the specific matter for which this agreement is proposed are protected by the attorney/client and work product privileges and are discussed in a separate confidential attorney-client privileged memorandum to the Board.

#### General Counsel's Comments:

The Office of the General Counsel drafted, reviewed and approved this agenda and the proposed agreement as to form and legality.

#### Environmental Review:

The proposed Board action, including without limitation, resolution authorizing amendment No. 1 to agreement for legal services with Allen, Matkins, Leck, Gamble, Mallory and Natsis LLP increasing the not-to-exceed amount by \$950,000 from \$150,000 to \$1,100,000, does not constitute a project under the definition set forth in California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15352 and 15378 because no direct or indirect changes to the physical environment would occur.

CEQA requires that the District adequately assess the environmental impacts of projects and reasonably foreseeable activities that may result from projects prior to the approval of the same. Any project developed as a result of the proposed Board action requiring the District or the Board's discretionary approval resulting in a physical change to the environment would be analyzed in accordance with CEQA prior to such approval. CEQA review may result in the District, in its sole and absolute discretion, requiring implementation of mitigation measures, adopting an alternative, including without limitation, a "no project alternative" or adopting a Statement of Overriding Consideration, if required. The exercise of this discretion is in no way limited by this proposed Board action. Therefore, no further CEQA review is required.

The proposed Board action allows for the District to implement its obligations under Sections 35 and 81 of the Port Act, which authorize the Board to do acts necessary and convenient for the exercise of its power; and the use funds for necessary expenses of conducting the District. The Port Act was enacted by the California Legislature and is consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine. Consequently, the proposed Board action is consistent with the Public Trust Doctrine.

The proposed Board action does not allow for development, as defined in Section 30106 of the California Coastal Act, or new development, pursuant to Section 1.a. of the District's Coastal Development Permit (CDP) Regulations because there will not be, without limitation, a physical change, change in use or increase the intensity of uses. Therefore, issuance of a Coastal Development Permit or exclusion is not required. However, development within the District requires processing under the District's CDP Regulations. Future development would remain subject to its own independent review pursuant to the District's certified CDP Regulations, Port Master Plan (PMP), and Chapters 3 and 8 of the Coastal Act. The exercise of the District's discretion under the District's CDP Regulations is in no way limited by the proposed Board action.

# Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Program:

Not applicable.

# PREPARED BY:

John N. Carter Senior Deputy General Counsel